KJV<sup>424</sup> Leviticus 23:11 "And he shall wave the sheaf before the LORD, to be accepted for you: in the day after the sabbath the priest shall wave it. 12 And ye shall offer that day when ye wave the sheaf an he lamb without blemish of the first year for a burnt offering unto the LORD. 13 And the meat offering thereof shall be two tenth deals of fine flour mingled with oil, an offering made by fire unto the LORD for a sweet savour: and the drink offering thereof shall be of wine, the fourth part of an hin. 14 And ye shall eat neither bread, nor parched corn, nor green ears, until the selfsame day that ye have brought an offering unto your God: it shall be a statute for ever throughout your generations in all your dwellings. 15 And ye shall count unto you in the time after the sabbath, from the day that ye brought the sheaf of the wave offering; seven sabbaths shall be complete: 16 Yet<sup>425</sup> in the time after the seventh sabbath shall ye number a fiftieth day; and ye shall offer a new meat offering unto the LORD."

The phrase "in the morrow after" is used three times in this passage. The Hebrew is בּמְבָּחָבָּה. A study of its usages will show that preposition 🎝 is a genitive of content, which is to say it designates a specific "time" out-of a whole "time". It does not specify extension away from the whole. For example, the scripture says, "And it came to pass on the morrow, which was the second day of the month, that David's place was empty: and Saul said unto Jonathan his son, Wherefore cometh not the son of Jesse to meat, neither vesterday, nor to day?"<sup>426</sup> David was missing at a certain time on the next day. There is no sense of marking time away from a point, just the sense of a given time "in" the day after.

The literal Hebrew from -day after signifies points in time taken from day after, and not points in time taken beyond that day. The words "on" or "in" are used the capture contextual sense. To use the word "from" is ambiguous, and may lead to confusion with extended time. 427 To use the word "on" will impose a too restrictive English sense. "On" cannot take a specific time "from" the whole, but must refer to the whole. Therefore, to remove the confusion we are required to translate the preposition "in",428.

An investigation will show that in all of the 25 or so cordantly explain all the texts in their contexts and at the same time solve our exegetical and chronological difficulties with Lev. 23:11-16.

The word החרת without its preposition is חַחַחַבּ. The ending  $\Pi_{-}$  is construct. This leaves the lexifirst derivation of this word as  $\neg \square R + \square i$ . This means "day" + "after". Contracting the two words, the alef is swallowed and the beginning of yom is dropped.

The lexemes  $\Pi_+ + \Pi_+ + \Pi_+$ same meaning as given in two passages. The first is Genesis 30:33, where Jacob says, "So shall my righteousness answer for me in time to come". The words "in time to come" render the Hebrew לְּחָב , which literally means "in day dayafter" (cf. Proverbs 27:1). But also ¬¬¬¬ is used alone to mean "in time to come" (cf. Exodus 13:14, KJV).

It is clear in these contexts that the Dir yom lexeme is being used for "time" in a general sense. Thus Jacob does not mean just the day after, but "in time - time after". The first attested use of this sense of Di will be found in Genesis 2:4 where it says "in the day that the LORD God made the earth and the heavens." The Hebrew for "in the day" is בּיוֹם". We note that the Hebrew yom is used in the sense of a longer period of time than one literal "day" in English. BDB gives exactly this definition, "6.  $\Box$  " = time". 430 The word yom is used to mean the week of creation. In Genesis 2:17 it is used to mean period of time lasting 1000 years.

We now turn to Ty the word at the beginning of vs. 16. This word is translated "until" or "even unto" (KJV). The explanation to come can survive this word as it is, however, I will suggest that it was originally pointed \(\frac{1}{2}\), and meant yet, or while. Moreover, the words "fifty days" in the KJV in vs. 16 are not literal. The word  $\Box$  is singular and compels us to understand the number in the ordinal sense 'fiftieth'. (Since only the fiftieth day is mentioned the preposition is probably introductory [i.e. yet, still] and not extensive of the day count.)

The phrase from the day in vs. 15 stands in parallel to מְּמְחַבְּיּל. This further demonstrates that the latter word must contextually mean in the time after. The words from the day do not have the power to redefine the preposition of nina, but they have the power to require us to select a meaning that this word already has, namely in the day after = in the time after.

## The explanation:

There are actually three different series that are to be counted, 1) Sabbaths, 2) days, and 3) weeks. The counting of weeks is gathered from other passages.<sup>431</sup> The counting of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>424</sup> Unless otherwise noted in the commentary.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>425</sup> Or *until*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>426</sup> 1<sup>st</sup> Samuel 20:27, KJV.

<sup>427</sup> The misunderstanding of the preposition has led to confusion in the translation, the same word being translated "from the day after," and "on the day after". The LXX shows the same confusion translating τ $\hat{\eta}$   $\hat{\epsilon}$ παύριον, and  $\hat{\alpha}$ π $\hat{o}$  τ $\hat{\eta}$ ς  $\hat{\epsilon}$ παύριον, i.e. in the morrow vs. from the morrow.  $^{428}$  In attempt to fit the contexts, the translators have speculated that

the word means "from (i.e. following) the day after", but this meaning is not attested for the word.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>429</sup> See كَيْرِيْنِ 252.71.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>430</sup> See definition of  $\Box$  251.22.

Peut. 16:9; Exodus 34:22. The word Sabbath Davi does not mean week in the scripture. The correct word for week is אַברע יוֹ which is derived from seven yaw and still retains the sense of seven of something. (cf. E. Vogt, "Does the word Shabbat have the